THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.15027 of 2006

Dated:21.07.2006

Between:

Venreddy Lakshminarayana.

…Petitioner

and

The Mandal Revenue Officer, Narayanapuram, Nalgonda District., and another.

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.15027 of 2006

ORDER:

The petitioner's father was allegedly assigned the land admeasuring Acs.2.00 in Survey No.407 situated at Jangoan Village, Narayanapuram Mandal, Nalgonda District, sometime prior to 1963. The petitioner alleges that after the death of his father, he inherited the land and his name was shown in the revenue records during 1963-1964 and 1978 to 1979. He alleges that recently he came to know that the first respondent issued an order bearing No.T/3878/98, dated 05.11.1998, resuming the land to the Government. The petitioner contends that the said order was issued without any notice and without conducting any enquiry. This Writ Petition is filed assailing the order of the first respondent, dated 05.11.1998, after a lapse of about seven years.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that as a copy of the order is not served on the petitioner and the same was passed without any notice to the petitioner, the same is unsustainable and it is arbitrary. The Writ Petition is opposed by the learned Assistant

Government Pleader as barred by delay and laches.

The Writ Petition cannot be entertained for two reasons. First, the Writ Petition is filed assailing the order dated 05.11.1998, and the delay of seven years is not properly explained. The submission that a copy of the order was not served on the petitioner, and that the same is not preceded by notice, cannot be accepted. No material is placed before this Court in support of the contentions, except placing reliance on the very impugned order. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the impugned order for the grounds urged before this Court, it is always open to him to approach the Revenue Divisional Officer or the District Collector. This Writ Petition cannot be entertained.

The Writ petition is therefore, dismissed. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

21.07.2006
vs